

### Block Ethics Approval for UG and PGT Lev@sidance

This guidance introduces the BlothicsApproval process for ethics review of student research at undergraduate and taught postraduate levels. It should be read alongstode U's Research Ethics Code of Practice

All research involving human participants, their data, requires ethics review. Whilst normally this review is done on a projectly-project basis; is recognised that for UG/PGT level there occasions where students macomplete very similar or identical projects that are very low risk. In such cases it may be proportionate to approximate a module level, rather than individually for each student

Please noteApplications for dissertation moduleat UG or PGT levalre NOT suitable for the Block Ethics Approval process.

Block Ethics Approvabplieswhen basic research is undertaken by students as part of a taught module on a routine basis or as part of a programme of work that is extremely low risk. Such cases will be typified by, for the most part, a consistency in practice and approach in terms of the research process, and where the research is assessed to be low risk. This will include projects where pedagogic research might be involved, and whether the engaged as-producers of knowledge through the undertaking of research in their capacity as consultants.

Criteria for determining if the Bock EthicsApproval process is appropriate:

- 1. The projects donot involve high or mediumisk research topics defined by the Research Ethics Code of Practicer where the ethical risks are static and wellderstood
- 2. The project deign and methodologies are static (or subject to only minor change
- 3. The risk of any unexpected ethics concerns arising is minimal, e.g. multisite, collaborative projects are at a higher risk of developing complications.
- 4. There is no requirement for the student to complete **eth** icsapplicationas part of their academic development professionabody requirements.
- 5. The relevant supervisor(multiple projects over the approval
- to fail to highlight the methodologies and potential risks e mechani**sm** ensure that research taking place under the
- lockapproval adhee to the scope of the approval as defined in

[





be obtained from participants? 10. Is pain or more than mild discomfort likely to result from tlesearch? 11. Could the esearchinduce psychological stress or anxiety or cause harm or negati consequences beyond the risks encountered in normatdifeither a participant or the research themselves 12. Will the researchinvolve prolonged or repetitive testing? 13. Will financial inducements (other than reasonable expenses and compensation f time) be offered to participants? 14. Does the research fall under the definition of medium or high risk as defined in Appendix 2 of the Research Ethics Code of Practice? If you have answered 'yes' to any of the question section the module is unsuited foolockethics approval, and you should look to utilise individual ethical approval for student research. If you have answered 'no' to all question please continue to Section II. SectionII: Screening Questions 1. Doall students undertake common research tasks (e.g. do research on the same topic, using the same research methods, asking the same questions of participants)? YES NO If Yes, proceed to Section III, if No continue below: 2. Do students undertake research tasks from a set range (e.g. do they select their research topic from a preset range of options; dthey employ one of a set range of research methodologies; do they ask questions of a pret range of issues)? YES  $\square$ NO If Yes, pleaseproceed to Section III and povide details of the range of research tasks they undertakewithin your Worktribe application. If No to both questions 8 and 9: It appears unlikely that the module is suited fBtockApproval' and you should be looking to utilise individual ethical approval.



# Appendix 2:

Block Ethics Approval – Annual Reporting Form		
Level of Study(UG/PGT:)		
Course		
Module Title:		
EthicsLead		
Worktribe Approval Reference No.		
Year of Study (i.e. 204225):		
Ident Name		

Research Ethics BloAlpproval, V4